

Beth's Experiment and Spin Tensor

Radi I. Khrapko¹

Moscow Aviation Institute - Volokolamskoe shosse 4, 125993 Moscow, Russia

Abstract: It is shown that the result of the classical Beth's experiment with a circularly polarized beam and a half-wave plate cannot be explained if the electrodynamics spin is defined as a moment of a linear momentum. The fact is that the beam is used in the experiment along with its reflection from the mirror, so the resulting momentum density and moment of momentum density are zero everywhere. However, the result of the experiment is easy to explain if spin is defined by the spin tensor within the framework of the Lagrange formalism. According to this definition, the spin of a circularly polarized beam is not connected with the surface of the beam; spin is contained everywhere in electromagnetic radiation of circular polarization, including plane waves.

Key words: classical spin; circular polarization; electrodynamics

PACS 75.10.Hk

1. Introduction.

Surprisingly, two mutually contradictory concepts of electrodynamics spin angular momentum \mathbf{S} exist now. (Only waves with a flat phase front are considered here).

According to [1-8], the electrodynamics spin density is proportional to the *gradient* of the electromagnetic energy density. See details in [9]. Therefore, in particular, the spin of a circularly polarized light beam is the moment of the momentum density $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}/c^2$ or of the Poynting vector $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}$ that circulates on the surface of the beam. According to this concept, after averaging over time,

$$\mathbf{S} = \langle \int \mathbf{r} \times (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) dV / c^2 \rangle = \langle \int \mathbf{r} \times d\mathbf{p} \rangle. \quad (1.1)$$

According to another concept [10-11] (see also e.g. [12-22]) any circularly polarized light carries an angular momentum volume *density*, which is proportional to the electromagnetic energy density *itself*.

J.H. Poynting: "If we put E for the energy in unit volume and G for the torque per unit area, we have $G = E\lambda / 2\pi$ " [11, p. 565].

Now this angular momentum density is described by a spin tensor $Y^{\lambda\mu\nu}$.

This concept does not associate spin with a linear momentum, or even with a motion of matter. **Hehl** writes about spin of an electron [23]:

"The current density in Dirac's theory can be split into a convective part and a polarization part. The polarization part is determined by the spin distribution of the electron field. It should lead to *no* energy flux in the rest system of the electron because the genuine spin 'motion' take place only within a region of the order of the Compton wavelength of the electron".

So, in the framework of the Poynting conception, the beam's moment of momentum (1.1) is considered as an orbital angular momentum

$$\mathbf{L} = \langle \int \mathbf{r} \times (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) dV / c^2 \rangle = \langle \int \mathbf{r} \times d\mathbf{p} \rangle. \quad (1.2)$$

The electrodynamics spin tensor arises in the framework of the Lagrange formalism [24-26]. The sense of the spin tensor is as follows. The component Y^{ij0} is a volume density of spin. This means that $dS^{ij} = Y^{ij0} dV$ is the spin of electromagnetic field inside the spatial element dV . The component Y^{ijk} is a flux density of spin flowing in the direction of the x^k axis. For example, $dS_z / dt = dS^{xy} / dt = d\tau^{xy} = Y^{xyz} da_z$ is the z -component of spin passing through the surface element

¹ Email: khrapko_ri@hotmail.com, khrapko_ri@mai.ru, <http://khrapkori.wmsite.ru>

da_z per unit time, i.e. the torque acting on the element. Thus, the infinitesimal 4-volume dV_v contains the spin angular momentum

$$dS^{\lambda\mu} = Y^{\lambda\mu\nu} dV_v, \text{ and } S^{\lambda\mu} = \int Y^{\lambda\mu\nu} dV_v. \quad (1.3)$$

We show that the classical Beth's experiment [27] confirms the Sadowsky and Poynting conception: electrodynamics angular momentum is proportional to the electromagnetic energy density. In the experiment, a circularly polarized beam passes through a half-wave plate, which changes the handedness to the opposite. So, the direction of the angular momentum of the beam changes, and the plate, according to the conservation principle, get double the angular momentum. However, to double the impact, the used beam passes through the plate a second time after reflection from the mirror.

Due to this circumstance, any circulation and even any movement of mass-energy is eliminated by adding the primary and reflected beams. A simple calculation shows that the Poynting vector is zero everywhere in the experiment (Section 2). Thus, the quantity (1.1) called the spin angular momentum of the beam is zero in the experiment, and the experiment has no explanation in the framework of the concept [1-8].

At the same time, the experiment is easy to explain if spin is defined by the spin tensor (1.3). The canonical spin tensor is used to explain the result of the Beth's experiment in Section 3.

2. Poynting vector in the Beth's experiment

A simple model of a wide circularly polarized electromagnetic beam with a plane phase front, directed along the axis z , is described by Jackson [8].

$$\mathbf{E}_1 = \exp(iz - it)[\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}(i\partial_x - \partial_y)]E_0(r), \quad r^2 = x^2 + y^2, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_1 = \exp(iz - it)[-i\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}(\partial_x + i\partial_y)]E_0(r), \quad (2.2)$$

Here \mathbf{E}_1 и \mathbf{H}_1 are complex electromagnetic field vectors, \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} , \mathbf{z} are unit coordinate vectors.

∂_x, ∂_y mean partial derivatives in x and y . For simplicity we put $\omega = k = c = \epsilon_0 = \mu_0 = 1$. Index 1 means that formulas (2.1), (2.2) describe the primary beam after it passes through the half-wave plate. The amplitude of the beam is indicated $E_0(r)$. The function $E_0(r)$ is considered constant throughout the beam, i.e. when $r < R$, where R denotes the radius of the beam. However, on the surface of the beam, where $r \approx R$, the function quickly decreases to zero.

The reflected beam incident on the plate is marked by index 2. It has the same helicity as the primary beam (that is, it has the same *mutual* direction of the momentum and the spin). Therefore, the formulas for the reflected beam are obtained from formulas (2.1), (2.2) by changing the signs of z and y :

$$\mathbf{E}_2 = \exp(-iz - it)[\mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}(-i\partial_x - \partial_y)]E_0(r), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_2 = \exp(-iz - it)[-i\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}(-\partial_x + i\partial_y)]E_0(r) \quad (2.4)$$

Adding the primary and reflected beam and writing out explicitly the real parts of the complex expressions, we get

$$E_x = \Re[\exp(iz - it) + \exp(-iz - it)]E_0 = 2E_0 \cos z \cos t, \quad (2.5)$$

$$E_y = \Re[i\exp(iz - it) - i\exp(-iz - it)]E_0 = -2E_0 \sin z \cos t, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_z &= \Re[\exp(iz - it)(i\partial_x - \partial_y) + \exp(-iz - it)(-i\partial_x - \partial_y)]E_0 \\ &= -2(\sin z \partial_x + \cos z \partial_y)E_0 \cos t \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$$H_x = \Re[-i\exp(iz - it) - i\exp(-iz - it)]E_0 = -2E_0 \cos z \sin t, \quad (2.8)$$

$$H_y = \Re[\exp(iz - it) - \exp(-iz - it)]E_0 = 2E_0 \sin z \sin t, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_z &= \Re[\exp(iz - it)(\partial_x + i\partial_y) + \exp(-iz - it)(-\partial_x + i\partial_y)]E_0 \\ &= 2(\sin z \partial_x + \cos z \partial_y)E_0 \sin t \end{aligned}, \quad (2.10)$$

and resulting electromagnetic field

$$\mathbf{E} = 2[\mathbf{x} \cos z - \mathbf{y} \sin z] - \mathbf{z}(\sin z \partial_x + \cos z \partial_y)] E_0 \cos t, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\mathbf{H} = -2[\mathbf{x} \cos z - \mathbf{y} \sin z] - \mathbf{z}(\sin z \partial_x + \cos z \partial_y)] E_0 \sin t. \quad (2.12)$$

It is seen that the electric and magnetic fields are parallel to each other everywhere. Therefore, the Poynting vector is zero.

3. Spin tensor in the Beth's experiment

Now we calculate the spin flux in the resulting electromagnetic field (2.11), (2.12), which surrounds the plate using the component of the canonical spin tensor $Y_c^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ [24-26]

$$Y_c^{\lambda\mu\nu} = -2A^{[\lambda} F^{\mu]\nu}, \quad Y_c^{xyz} = -2A^{[x} F^{y]z} = A^x H_x + A^y H_y = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{H} \quad (3.1)$$

(here A^λ и $F_{\mu\nu}$ are the magnetic vector potential and the electromagnetic tensor). However, let us first consider this process qualitatively. Since the primary beam (2.1), (2.2) is right circularly polarized and is directed along the z axis, it creates a spin flux density in space $Y_c^{xyz} > 0$. The reflected beam incident on the plate also has right circular polarization. Therefore he carries the spin $S_z < 0$. But, moving against the z axis, it creates in space the same spin flux density as the primary beam, $Y_c^{xyz} > 0$. So the spins of the primary and reflected beams are summed, in contrast to the Poynting vectors, which are mutually eliminated.

To calculate the spin flux density using the formula (3.1), the vector potential is calculated previously.

$$\mathbf{A} = -\int \mathbf{E} dt = -2(\mathbf{x} \cos z - \mathbf{y} \sin z) E_0 \sin t, \quad (3.2)$$

$$Y_c^{xyz} = A^x H_x + A^y H_y = 4E_0^2 \sin^2 t, \quad \langle Y_c^{xyz} \rangle = 2E_0^2, \quad (3.3)$$

A similar calculation on the other side of the plate gives the same result. Thus, the plate receives the resulting torque

$$\tau_{\text{tot}} = 4\pi R^2 E_0^2 = 4P \quad (3.4)$$

according to the result of the Beth's experiment ($P = \pi R^2 E_0^2$ is the power of the beam).

4. Conclusion

Thus, the Beth's experiment confirms the Sadowsky & Poynting concept of electrodynamic angular momentum and the spin tensor adequacy.

I express my deep gratitude to N.N. Konstantinov, without whose help this article would not have been written. I am eternally grateful to Professor Robert Romer, who bravely published my question: "Does a plane wave really not carry the spin?" [28]

References

1. Andrews D.L., M. Babiker (Editors) *The angular momentum of light* (Cambridge 2013)
2. Heitler W *The Quantum Theory of Radiation* (Oxford: Clarendon, 1954) p. 401.
3. Allen L., Padgett M. J. "Response to Question #79. Does a plane wave carry spin angular momentum?" *Am. J. Phys.* **70**, 567 (2002).
4. Simmonds J. W., M. J. Guttman, *States, Waves and Photons* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1970)
5. Ohanian H. C., "What is spin?" *Amer. J. Phys.* **54**, 500-505 (1986).
6. Allen L., M. J. Padgett, M. Babiker, "The orbital angular momentum of light" in Wolf E. (Ed.) *Progress in Optics XXXIX* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1999)
7. Allen L., S. M. Barnett, M. J. Padgett *Optical Angular Momentum* (Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol and Philadelphia 2003)
8. Jackson J. D., *Classical Electrodynamics*, (John Wiley, 1999).

9. Khrapko R. I. Absorption of Spin by a Conducting Medium *AASCIT Journal of Physics* Vol. 4, No. 2, Page: 59-63 (2018)
10. Sadowsky A. *Acta et Comm. Imp. Universitatis Jurievensis* 7, No. 1-3 (1899)
11. Poynting J. H., "The wave motion of a revolving shaft, and a suggestion as to the angular momentum in a beam of circularly polarised light". *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* 82, 560-567 (1909)
12. Crawford F.S., Jr., *Waves: Berkley Physics Course - V. 3* (Berkeley, California June, 1968)
13. Feynman R. P., R. B. Leighton, M. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics* (Addison–Wesley, London, 1965) Vol. 3, p. 17–10.
14. Khrapko R. I. Absorption of angular momentum of a plane wave *Optik* 154 (2018) 806–810
15. Khrapko R. I. "Absorption of light by a conducting medium" (2004)
<http://trudymai.ru/published.php?ID=34247> In Russian.
16. Khrapko R. I. Spin transmitted to the mirror when light is reflected (2005)
<http://trudymai.ru/published.php?ID=34126> In Russian.
17. Khrapko R. I. Radiation damping of a rotating dipole *Optik*.
<https://authors.elsevier.com/a/1aFPT6wQfKoEb>
18. Khrapko R.I. "Mechanical stresses produced by a light beam" *J. Modern Optics*, **55**, 1487-1500 (2008)
19. Khrapko R. I. "Reflection of light from a moving mirror" *Optik* **136** (2017) 503–506
20. Khrapko R. I. Spin radiation from a rotating dipole. *Optik* **181** (2019) 1080-1084
21. Khrapko R. I. Spin transferred to a mirror reflecting light. Conference Report (ICECEIC during 30th- 31st January 2019) <http://khrapkori.wmsite.ru/ftpgetfile.php?id=188&module=files>
22. Khrapko R. Unknown spin radiation *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1172** 012055 (2019)
23. Hehl F. W. "On the energy tensor of spinning massive matter in classical field theory and general relativity" *Reports on Mathematical Physics* Vol. 9, No. 1, 55 (1976)
24. Corson E. M. *Introduction to tensors, spinors, and relativistic wave-equation* (NY, Hafner, 1953) p.71
25. Soper D. E., *Classical Field Theory* (N.Y.: Dover, 2008), p. 114
26. Barut A. O. *Electrodynamics and Classical Theory of Particles and Fields* (Macmillan, New York, 1964), p. 102.
27. Beth R. A., Mechanical detection and measurement of the angular momentum of light. *Phys. Rev.* **50**, 115-125 (1936).
28. Khrapko R I "Does plane wave not carry a spin?" *Amer. J. Phys.* 69, 405 (2001)